	Case 2:23-cv-01329-DAD-DB Docume	nt 10	Filed 06/06/24	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	NATHANIEL DWAYNE CAETANO,	No	o. 2:23-cv-01329-	DAD-DB
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.	<u>FI</u>	NDINGS AND RE	<u>ECOMMENDATIONS</u>
14 15	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,			
16	Defendant.			
17	By order filed March 18, 2024, plaintiff was directed to pay the \$405.00 filing fee in full			
18	within fourteen days. The fourteen-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an			
19	amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.			
20	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff			
21	was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current			
2223	address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of			
24	the party is fully effective.			
25	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See			
26	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).			
27	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge			
28	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days			
-	1			

after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: June 6, 2024 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DB:16 DB/Prisoner/Civil/R/Caet.1329.fta.nca

Case 2:23-cv-01329-DAD-DB Document 10 Filed 06/06/24 Page 2 of 2